SOUTF	IERN I	TES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW YORK X			
KIRAN VUPPALA, Plaintiff,			23 Civ. 00154 (LGS)		
vs.  FELIX ROASTING CO., a New York corporation, d/b/a FELIX ROASTING CO., and LAFAYETTE-ASTOR ASSOCIATES, L.L.C., a New York limited liability company,			CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER		
	Defen				
LORNA G. SCHOFIELD, United States District Judge:					
Civ. P	This C	Civil Case Management Plan is submitted 3).	by the parties in accordance with Fed. R.		
1.	All parties [consent/ do not consent\sqrt{_}] to conducting all further proceedings before a United States Magistrate Judge, including motions and trial. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). The parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences. [If all parties consent, the remaining paragraphs need not be completed.]				
2.	The parties [have/ have not] conferred pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).				
3.	This case is governed by one of the following sets of rules, and the parties' proposed dates in this order have been adjusted accordingly.				
	a.	An employment case governed by the Ir cases? <a href="https://nysd.uscourts.gov/hon-lorr">https://nysd.uscourts.gov/hon-lorr</a> [Yes/ No/_]	nitial Discovery Protocols for Employment na-g-schofield.		
	b.	A case governed by Local Civil Rule 83 Against the City of New York? <a href="https://n">https://n</a> [Yes/No/]	,		
	c.	A patent case subject to the Local Patent <a href="https://nysd.uscourts.gov/rules">https://nysd.uscourts.gov/rules</a> and			

	d.	A wage and hour case governed by Initial Discovery Protocols for Fair Labor Standards Act? <a href="https://nysd.uscourts.gov/hon-lorna-g-schofield">https://nysd.uscourts.gov/hon-lorna-g-schofield</a> .				
		[Yes/ No/ ]				
4.	Alter	Alternative Dispute Resolution/Settlement				
	a.	Settlement discussions [have/ have not_] taken place.				
	b.	Counsel for the parties have discussed an informal exchange of information in aid of early settlement and have agreed to exchange the following:				
	c.	Counsel for the parties have discussed the use of the following alternate dispute resolution mechanisms for use in this case: (i) a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge; (ii) participation in the District's Mediation Program; and (iii) retention of a private mediator. Counsel for the parties propose the following alternate dispute resolution mechanism for this case:				
		(ii) Participation in the District's Mediation Program				
	d.	Counsel for the parties recommend that the alternate dispute resolution mechanism designated in paragraph 4(c) be employed at the following point in the case ( <i>e.g.</i> , within the next 60 days; after the deposition of plaintiff is completed (specify date); after the close of fact discovery):				
		Within the next 60 days				
	e.	The use of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this Order.				
5.	No a	dditional parties may be joined after 6/4/23 without leave of Court.				
6.	Amended pleadings may be filed without leave of Court until 6/4/23.					
7.	14	disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed no later than days from the date of this Order. [Within 14 days of the parties' Rule 26(f) erence, absent exceptional circumstances.]				

8.	Fact Di	Fact Discovery		
he parties may	a.	All fact discovery shall be completed no later than 9/4/23. [A period not to exceed 120 days, unless the Court finds that the case presents unique complexities or other exceptional circumstances.]		
nodify the dates ontained in paragraphs 8(b)	b.	Initial requests for production of documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 shall be served by 6/19/23.		
hrough 8(f) by nutual agreement, provided all fact		Responsive documents shall be produced by $7/19/23$ . Do the parties anticipate e-discovery? [Yes/No\footnotemus_]		
liscovery is ompete by <b>eptember 4,</b>	d.	Interrogatories pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 shall be served by 6/19/23.		
023.	e.	Depositions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30, 31 shall be completed by 9/4/23.		
	f.	Requests to admit pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 shall be served by 7/19/23.		
	g.	Any of the deadlines in paragraphs $8(b)$ through $8(f)$ may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that all fact discovery is completed by the date set forth in paragraph $8(a)$ .		
9.	Expert	Discovery [if applicable]		
	a.	Anticipated types of experts if any:		
		ADA expert regarding accessibility		
	b.	If you have identified types of experts in question 9(a), all expert discovery shall be completed no later than 10/17/23.  [Within 45 days from the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery, absent exceptional circumstances.] Omit if you have not identified types of experts.		
		If you have identified types of experts in question 9(a), by 8/3/23 [no later than one month before the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery] the parties shall meet and confer on a schedule for expert disclosures, including reports, production of underlying documents and depositions, provided that (i) expert report(s) of the party with the burden of proof shall be due before those of the opposing party's expert(s); and (ii) all expert discovery shall be completed by the date set forth in paragraph 9(b).		

1 ms	case [is/ is not/] to be tried to a jury.					
	Counsel for the parties have conferred and their present best estimate of the length of trial is 2 days .					
	Other issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3), are set forth below:					
Statu	s Letters and Conferences					
a.	By 7/5/23 [60 days after the commencement of fact discovery], the parties shall submit a joint status letter, as outlined in Individual Rule IV.A.2.					
b.	By 9/18/23 [14 days after the close of fact discovery], the parties shall submit a joint status letter, as outlined in Individual Rule IV.A.2 and, in the event that they have not already been referred for settlement discussions, shall also advise the Court whether or not they request a referral for settlement discussions as provided in Paragraph 4(c) above.  November 8, 2023 at 4:10 P.M.					
c.	On <u>*10×34×28××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××</u>					

- i. A party wishing to file a summary judgment or other dispositive motion shall file a pre-motion letter **at least two weeks before the** conference and in the form provided in the Court's Individual Rule III.A.1. Any party wishing to oppose shall file a responsive letter as provided in the same Individual Rule. The motion will be discussed at the conference. To join the conference, the parties shall call (888) 363-4749 and use Access Code 558-3333. The time of the conference is approximate, but the parties shall be ready to proceed at that time.
- ii. If no pre-motion letter is timely filed, this conference will be canceled and the matter placed on the Court's trial-ready calendar. The parities will be notified of the assigned trial-ready date and the filing deadlines for pretrial submissions. The parties are warned that any settlement discussions will not stay pretrial deadlines or the trial date.

This Order may not be modified or the dates herein extended, except as provided in paragraph 8(f)) or by further Order of this Court for good cause shown. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein, except as provided in paragraph 8(f), shall be made in a written application in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and shall be made no less than 2 business days prior to the expiration of the date sought to be extended.

Notwithstanding any other rules governing discovery or other deadlines, the parties shall follow the deadlines set forth herein unless expressly ordered otherwise by the Court.

SO ORDERED

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter the dates under paragraphs 5, 6, 8(a), 9(b)-(c) and 13(a)-(c) into the Court's calendar, and to schedule the conference under paragraph13(c) as a Pre-Motion Conference, even though the conference will be held by telephone.

Dated: April 26, 2023 New York, New York	LORNA G. SCHOFIELD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
Counsel for the Parties:	
Plaintiff	B. Bradley Weitz, Esq
Felix Roasting Co.	Mitchell S. Segal, Esq.